



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE PHN 17,721 2515 Antonius H.M. Akkermans 09/704,595 11/02/2000 **EXAMINER** 10/04/2004 24737 7590 ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 2655

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/704,595		AKKERMANS, ANTONIUS H.M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>	
	Jorge L Ortiz-Criado	2655		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence add	dress	
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment eal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper replicant which places the application a timely filed Request for	ation in	
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or t	o)]		
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perio fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date re later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH the date on which the petition under of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period office later than three months afte	set forth in the final rejection, when mailing date of the final reject IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app ding amount of the fee. The app for reply originally set in the fina	ropriate extension oropriate extension of Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 September 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	<u>04</u> . Appellant's Brief must l FR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	be filed within the period so nissal of the appeal.	et forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered				
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected clain	ns.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed	d amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request to application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NC	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be enter would be rejected is provide	ed or b)⊠ will be entered led below or appended.	and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	<i>!</i> s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 12.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-11 and 13-15</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	()	
10. Other:	·	William Korzu	enk ICH	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 08/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to claims 1-5,7-11, 13 and 15 as unpatentable over Gérard et al., Applicants argue that Gérard et al does not disclose or suggest the measurement signal to be sample "WITHIN A PREDETERMINED PERIOD OF TIME". The Examiner cannot concur because claims 1-5,7-11, 13 and 15 are NOT limited to ONLY the argued language. Alternatively, the measurement signals are sampled at locations having mutually the same intensity levels, which Gérard et al. clearly discloses. Hence, Gérard et al meet the all the limitations as claimed.

With regard to claim 14 as unpatentable over Gérard et al., Applicant argue that Gérard et al makes no mention of radial to vertical crosstalk. Gérard et al does not expressly disclose or mention "radial to vertical crosstalk". Gérard et al discloses sampling the measurement signal at locations having mutually the same intensity for the vertical controlling. As claimed, the radial to vertical crosstalk is reduced, by measuring the sampled signal at the same intensity level, which produces a high when compared. Since the information is stored in the form of difference in levels, Gérard et al. samples measurement signals at location having mutually the same intensity at the level which produces the control signal (high level) results in reduction the radial to vertical crosstalk in the vertical control.

With regard to claim 2 as unpatentable over Gérard et al. in view of Tateishi, Applicants argue that Tateishi does not disclose or suggest measuring the time during which the measurement signal is held and means for causing the measurement signal to be sampled when the time exceeds a predetermined value. The Examine cannot concur because Tateishi discloses measuring the time during which the measurement signal is held and means for causing the measurement signal to be sampled when the time exceeds a predetermined value (See col. 3, lines 22-46; col. 5, lines 16, 30; col. 6, lines 40-64).

Tateishi discloses preventing the provision of a sampling pulse within a given time duration when the run-length is shorter than a predetermined length time period and the sample pulse will not be generated if a predetermined length time period has not yet expired by disabling the sample pulses. Tateishi is measuring the time during which the measurement signal is held and disables the sample pulse signal when the predetermined length time period has not yet expired/("time not exceeded") and enables the sample pulse when the predetermined length time period has expired/("time exceeded").